# Supplementary file to "Scaled Simplex Representation for Subspace Clustering"

Jun Xu<sup>1,2</sup>, Mengyang Yu<sup>2</sup>, Ling Shao<sup>2</sup>, Senior Member, IEEE, Wangmeng Zuo<sup>3</sup>, Senior Member, IEEE,

Deyu Meng<sup>4</sup>, Lei Zhang<sup>5</sup>, Fellow, IEEE, David Zhang<sup>5,6</sup>, Fellow, IEEE

<sup>1</sup>College of Computer Science, Nankai University, Tianjin, China

<sup>2</sup>Inception Institute of Artificial Intelligence, Abu Dhabi, UAE

<sup>3</sup>School of Computer Science and Technology, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China

<sup>4</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China

<sup>5</sup>Department of Computing, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong SAR, China

<sup>6</sup>School of Science and Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong Kong (Shenzhen), Shenzhen, China

### I. SOLUTION OF THE NLSR MODEL

The NLSR model (Eqn. (20) in the main paper) does not have an analytical solution. We employ a variable splitting method [1], [2] to solve it. By introducing an auxiliary variable Z, we can reformulate the NLSR model into a linear equalityconstraint problem with two variables C and Z:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{C},\boldsymbol{Z}} \|\boldsymbol{X} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{C}\|_F^2 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{C}\|_F^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{Z} = \boldsymbol{C}, \boldsymbol{Z} \ge 0.$$
(1)

Since the objective function is separable w.r.t. the variables C and Z, problem (1) can be solved under the alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM) [3] framework. The Lagrangian function of the problem (1) is

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{C}, \boldsymbol{Z}, \boldsymbol{\Delta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\rho}) = \|\boldsymbol{X} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{C}\|_{F}^{2} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}\|\boldsymbol{C}\|_{F}^{2} \\ + \langle \boldsymbol{\Delta}, \boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{C} \rangle + \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}}{2}\|\boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{C}\|_{F}^{2},$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $\Delta$  is the augmented Lagrangian multiplier and  $\rho > 0$ is the penalty parameter. We initialize the vector variables  $C_0$ ,  $Z_0$ , and  $\Delta_0$  to be conformable zero matrices and set  $\rho > 0$  with a suitable value. Denote by  $(C_k, Z_k)$  and  $\delta_k$  the optimization variables and the Lagrange multiplier at iteration k (k = 0, 1, 2, ..., K), respectively. The variables can be updated by taking derivatives of the Lagrangian function (2) w.r.t. the variables C and Z and setting them to be zero.

(1) Updating C while fixing Z and  $\Delta$ :

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{C}} \|\boldsymbol{X} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{C}\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{C}\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{C} - (\boldsymbol{Z}_{k} + \rho^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{k})\|_{F}^{2}.$$
(3)

This is a standard least squares regression problem with closed form solution:

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{k+1} = (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X} + \frac{2\lambda + \rho}{2}\boldsymbol{I})^{-1}(\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X} + \frac{\rho}{2}\boldsymbol{Z}_{k} + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{k})$$
(4)

## (2) Updating Z while fixing C and $\Delta$ :

$$\min_{\mathbf{Z}} \|\mathbf{Z} - (\mathbf{C}_{k+1} - \rho^{-1} \mathbf{\Delta}_k)\|_F^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{Z} \ge 0.$$
 (5)

Corresponding author: Jun Xu (email: nankaimathxujun@gmail.com).

The solution of Z is

$$\boldsymbol{Z}_{k+1} = \max(0, \boldsymbol{C}_{k+1} - \rho^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k), \tag{6}$$

where the "max( $\cdot$ )" operator outputs element-wisely the maximal value of the inputs.

### (3) Updating the Lagrangian multiplier $\Delta$ :

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k + \rho(\boldsymbol{Z}_{k+1} - \boldsymbol{C}_{k+1}). \tag{7}$$

The above alternative updating steps are repeated until the convergence condition is satisfied or the number of iterations exceeds a preset threshold K. The convergence condition of the ADMM algorithm is:  $\|Z_{k+1} - C_{k+1}\|_F \leq \text{Tol}$ ,  $\|C_{k+1} - C_k\|_F \leq \text{Tol}$ , and  $\|Z_{k+1} - Z_k\|_F \leq \text{Tol}$  are simultaneously satisfied, where Tol > 0 is a small tolerance value. Since the objective function and constraints are all strictly convex, the NLSR model solved by the ADMM algorithm [3] is guaranteed to converge to a global optimal solution.

#### II. SOLUTION OF THE SLSR MODEL

We solve the SLSR model (Eqn. (21) in the main paper) by employing variable splitting methods [1], [2]. Specifically, we introduce an auxiliary variable Z into the SLSR model, which can then be equivalently reformulated as a linear equalityconstrained problem:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{C},\boldsymbol{Z}} \|\boldsymbol{X} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{C}\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{F}^{2}$$
s.t.  $\mathbf{1}^{\top}\boldsymbol{Z} = s\mathbf{1}^{\top}, \boldsymbol{Z} = \boldsymbol{C},$ 
(8)

whose solution for C coincides with the solution of Eqn. (20) in the main paper. Since its objective function is separable w.r.t. the variables C and Z, problem (8) can also be solved via the ADMM method [3]. The corresponding augmented Lagrangian function is the same as in Eqn. (11) in the main paper. Denote by  $(C_k, Z_k)$  and  $\Delta_k$  the optimization variables and Lagrange multiplier at iteration k (k = 0, 1, 2, ...), respectively. We initialize the variables  $C_0$ ,  $Z_0$ , and  $\Delta_0$  to be conformable zero matrices. By taking derivatives of the Lagrangian function  $\mathcal{L}$  (Eqn. (11) in the main paper) w.r.t. C and Z, and setting them to be zeros, we can alternatively update the variables as follows:

Algorithm 4: Projection of the vector  $v_{k+1}$  onto a scaled affine space Input: Data point  $v_{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , scalar s. 1. Sort  $v_{k+1}$  into  $w: w_1 \ge w_2 \ge ... \ge w_N$ ; 2. Find  $\alpha = \max\{1 \le j \le N: w_j + \frac{1}{j}(s - \sum_{i=1}^j w_i) > 0\}$ ; 3. Define  $\beta = \frac{1}{\alpha}(s - \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} w_i)$ ; Output:  $z_{k+1}: z_{k+1}^i = v_{k+1}^i + \beta$ , i = 1, ..., N.

## (1) Updating C while fixing $Z_k$ and $\Delta_k$ :

$$C_{k+1} = \arg\min_{C} \|X - XC\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \|C - (Z_{k} + \frac{1}{\rho}\Delta_{k})\|_{F}^{2}.$$
(9)

This is a standard least square regression problem and has a closed-from solution given by

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{k+1} = (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X} + \frac{\rho}{2}\boldsymbol{I})^{-1}(\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X} + \frac{\rho}{2}\boldsymbol{Z}_k + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k).$$
(10)

(2) Updating Z while fixing  $C_k$  and  $\Delta_k$ :

$$Z_{k+1} = \arg\min_{Z} \|Z - \frac{\rho}{2\lambda + \rho} (C_{k+1} - \rho^{-1} \Delta_k)\|_F^2$$
  
s.t.  $\mathbf{1}^\top Z = s \mathbf{1}^\top$ . (11)

This is a quadratic programming problem and the objective function is strictly convex, with a close and convex constraint, so there is a unique solution. Here, we employ the projection based method [4], whose computational complexity is  $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$  to process a vector of length N. Denote by  $v_{k+1}$  an arbitrary column of  $\frac{\rho}{2\lambda+\rho}(C_{k+1}-\rho^{-1}\Delta_k)$ , the solution of

 $z_{k+1}$  (the corresponding column in  $Z_{k+1}$ ) can be solved by projecting  $v_{k+1}$  onto a scaled affine space [4]. The solution of problem (11) is summarized in Algorithm 4.

(3) Updating  $\Delta$  while fixing  $C_k$  and  $Z_k$ :

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k + \rho(\boldsymbol{Z}_{k+1} - \boldsymbol{C}_{k+1}). \tag{12}$$

We repeat the above alternative updates until a certain convergence condition is satisfied or the number of iterations reaches a preset threshold K. The convergence condition of the ADMM algorithm is met when  $||C_{k+1} - Z_{k+1}||_F \le \text{Tol}$ ,  $||C_{k+1} - C_k||_F \le \text{Tol}$ , and  $||Z_{k+1} - Z_k||_F \le \text{Tol}$  are simultaneously satisfied, where Tol > 0 is a small tolerance value. Since the objective function and constraints are convex, the SLSR model solved by the ADMM algorithm, is guaranteed to converge to a global optimal solution.

#### REFERENCES

- R. Courant. Variational methods for the solution of problems of equilibrium and vibrations. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 49(1):1–23, 1943.
- [2] J. Eckstein and D. P. Bertsekas. On the Douglas–Rachford splitting method and the proximal point algorithm for maximal monotone operators. *Mathematical Programming*, 55(1):293–318, 1992.
- [3] S. Boyd, N. Parikh, E. Chu, B. Peleato, and J. Eckstein. Distributed optimization and statistical learning via the alternating direction method of multipliers. *Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning*, 3(1):1–122, January 2011. 1
- [4] J. Duchi, S. Shalev-Shwartz, Y. Singer, and T. Chandra. Efficient projections onto the 1 1-ball for learning in high dimensions. In *ICML*, pages 272–279. ACM, 2008. 2